

Contact: See individual reports

Members' briefing pack

Wednesday, 16 October 2024

Items in this briefing pack:

| | | Page nos. |
|----|---|-----------|
| | Community Wellbeing and Housing Committee The following 'to note' reports fall under the remit of the Community Wellbeing and Housing Committee | |
| 1. | Housing, Homelessness & Rough Sleeping Strategy Working Group Minutes | 1 - 8 |
| | To note the minutes from the first Housing, Homelessness and Rough Sleeping Strategy Working Group meeting. | |
| 2. | Housing Strategy Contract Performance Summary 2023-24 | 9 - 32 |
| | To note the Housing Strategy Contract Performance Summary 2023- 24 | |
| | Environment and Sustainability Committee The following 'to note' report falls under the remit of the Environment and Sustainability Committee. | |
| 3. | Update on Design Code | 33 - 36 |
| | To note progress made to date on the Design Code and next steps. | |

Spelthorne Borough Council, Council Offices, Knowle Green

Staines-upon-Thames TW18 1XB



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted



Community Wellbeing & Housing Committee



Briefing Pack 16 October 2024

| Title | Housing Strategy Contract Performance Summary 2023-24 |
|---------------------------|--|
| Purpose of the report | To note |
| Report Author | Gareth Richards – Housing Strategy Team Leader, Contract Management and Reporting |
| Ward(s) Affected | All Wards |
| Exempt | No |
| Exemption Reason | Not Applicable |
| Corporate Priority | Community Addressing Housing Need Services |
| Recommendations | Committee is asked to: Not Applicable – For information only |
| Reason for Recommendation | Not Applicable – For information only |

1. Summary of the report

| What is the situation | Why we want to do something |
|---|---|
| Ongoing service performance management for the Housing Strategy contracts and Service Level Agreements for SBC. | To assess current contract performance, and to inform future contract management, renewal, and procurement. |
| This is what we want to do about it | These are the next steps |
| To continue with contract performance management, working with our partners and colleagues to address any concerns, streamline processes, and build on our successes. | To continue with ongoing contract performance management, renewal, and procurement in line with the Council's policies and procedures. |

1.1 The report seeks to assess current contract performance to inform future contract management, renewal, and procurement.

2. Key issues

2.1 This report summarises the Key Performance Indicators and other Service Level Agreement requirements for each of the Housing Strategy contracts for 2023-24, and details the performance of our partners and colleagues against those KPIs and other requirements in line with the Council's procurement policies and procedures relating to Contract Management.

3. Options analysis and proposal

3.1 To continue with ongoing contract performance management, renewal, and procurement in line with the Council's policies and procedures.

4. Financial management comments

- 4.1 There are associated costs for services such as property management, cleaning and grounds maintenance, with competing demands for Council funding from providers of other services such as advice and support.
- 4.2 For those services relating to Harper House and the White House there are service charges to cover some costs, plus additional rental income for the Council, and a saving on the costs of more expensive alternative forms of emergency accommodation and temporary accommodation, such as B&Bs.
- 4.3 For those services providing advice and support there is a saving of resources (including staff time, prevention through early intervention, etc.) which represents a cost saving to the Council, and these benefits should be weighed up against the costs to ensure the Council is getting best value.

5. Risk management comments

5.1 Risk assessments are completed by the service providers and / or Spelthorne Borough Council for all services. The ongoing contract performance management helps to mitigate the risk of poor service provision, and health & safety and safeguarding matters are addressed in all meetings.

6. Procurement comments

6.1 All contracts are awarded in line with the Council's procurement policies and procedures.

7. Legal comments

7.1 Contracts and / or SLAs must be in place with defined KPIs and other requirements that performance can be measured against, and where the Council has recourse to take appropriate action should the performance not be satisfactory. These must be reviewed and updated over time.

8. Other considerations

- 8.1 Where the same service provider remains in place over time, there are considerations of their experience in providing those particular services, and their familiarity with the client groups, when the service performance is assessed or when those contracts / SLAs are renewed.
- 8.2 Whilst this knowledge and experience is extremely valuable, and there are difficulties associated with training and "bedding in" new service providers, the Council should not become over-reliant on particular partners and should be prepared to consider alternative providers who may be able to provide better value for money.

9. Equality and Diversity

9.1 An Equality and Diversity Impact Assessment is carried out for each of the contracts / SLAs, in line with the Council's policies and procedures.

10. Sustainability/Climate Change Implications

10.1 There are sustainability and climate / change concerns for some contracts (such as for grounds maintenance, for example). However, the contract performance management process itself does not directly impact on the Council's sustainability / climate change position.

11. Timetable for implementation

11.1 Contract performance management is ongoing. This report is for the financial year 2023/24, and there will be further reports for future years.

12. Contact

12.1 Gareth Richards, Housing Strategy Team Leader, Contract Management and Reporting: g.richards2@spelthorne.gov.uk

Background papers: There are none.

Appendices: There are none.





HOUSING STRATEGY CONTRACT PERFORMANCE SUMMARY 2023/24

CITIZENS' ADVICE RUNNYMEDE & SPELTHORNE PERFORMANCE SUMMARY:

Citizens' Advice Runnymede & Spelthorne KPI Summary:

| KPI | Description | Target | Outcome | | | | Comments |
|-----|------------------------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | Number of Spelthorne clients per | 300 clients | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | The target has been greatly |
| | quarter | | 595 | 577 | 579 | 795 | exceeded for each quarter |
| 2 | Number of issues in Spelthorne | 450 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | The target has been greatly |
| | effectively supported per quarter | matters | 1,847 | 1,908 | 2,067 | 2,578 | exceeded for each quarter |
| 3 | Annual Client Satisfaction Survey | 80% (for | Ease of | Way Forward | Problem | Recommend | The target has been achieved |
| | for Spelthorne area for 4 areas of | each area) | Access | Found | Resolved | the Service | for 2 of the categories, and is |
| | service – Ease of Access, Way | | 73% | 90% | 79% | 89% | not too far short in the other |
| | Forward Found, Problem | | | | | | categories; the figures are in |
| | Resolved, and whether clients | | | | | | line with or better than the CA |
| | would Recommend the Service | | | | | | national averages |
| 4 | Timely delivery of quarterly | 100% | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Reports have been delivered in |
| | reports to SBC | | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | a timely fashion ahead of the |
| | | | | | | | planned meeting dates |
| 5 | Reporting serious complaints / | 100% | N/A | | | | No incidents to report |
| | issues to SBC immediately | | | | | | |
| 6 | To maintain Advice Quality | 100% | 100% | | | The AQS accreditation has been | |
| | Standard accreditation | | | | | | maintained |



Revenue Grant:

- Maximum of £60,000 annually from Housing Revenue Grant, plus a further £40,000 from the Housing budget, and a further £46,000 to fund a Spelthorne-specific advisor.
- Plus the rental costs for Sunbury library (but please note that they are due to move to Staines library).

<u>Citizens' Advice Runnymede & Spelthorne – Stats & Commentary for SBC Clients, Issues & Outcomes:</u>

1. & 2. Overall Spelthorne BC Clients, Issues & Caseload:

- The KPI targets for the numbers of clients and issues supported have been greatly exceeded for each quarter. Total numbers of clients, issues, activities, and cases for Spelthorne are shown below.
- Note that the additional "simple queries" are straightforward queries which are resolved when the initial call is made without needing to create a full case (e.g., providing basic information on local services, signposting to other services, etc.).

| | 2023 – 2024 Q1 | 2023 – 2024 Q2 | 2023 – 2024 Q3 | 2023 – 2024 Q4 | Total |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|
| Clients | 595 | 577 | 579 | 795 | 2,546 |
| Issues (e.g., benefits, housing, debt, legal etc. | 1,847 | 1,908 | 2,067 | 2,578 | 8,400 |
| Activities | 2,451 | 2,382 | 1,887 | 3,086 | 9,806 |
| Cases | 555 | 514 | 542 | 798 | 2,409 |
| Financial Outcomes (e.g., debt relief, benefit / UC gain etc.) | £358,199 | £744,250 | £559,329 | £559,478 | £2,221,256 |
| Simple Queries | | | | | 605 |
| Total Clients | | | | | 3,151 |



3. <u>Annual Client Satisfaction Survey:</u>

- CAR&S are below their 80% targets for "Ease of Access" and "Problem Solved", which is consistent with the national figures for CA due to the ongoing unprecedented demand for their services and increasing complexity of cases associated with the cost of living crisis. They are in line with the CA national average for "Ease of Access", and above the national CA average for "Problem Solved".
- CAR&S are above the CA national averages for "Way Forward Found" and whether clients would "Recommend the Service", achieving above their 80% in both these categories.
- And they have not fallen too far short of the 80% targets for the other categories despite the upsurge in demand and increasingly complex issues faced by clients (as demonstrated by the numbers of clients and issues being greatly above target).

| | Ease of Access | Way Forward Found | Problem Solved | Recommend the Service |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| CAR&S | 73% | 90% | 79% | 89% |
| National CA | 73% | 83% | 73% | 84% |

4. Report Delivery:

• The quarterly reports have been delivered in a timely fashion ahead of the planned meeting dates.

5. Serious Complaints / Issues:

• There have been no serious complaints or issues to report.

6. Quality of Advice:

• Citizens' Advice provide advice to the recognised accredited Advice Quality Standard (AQS), which includes legal advice and further help with progressing issues, and they have maintained this accreditation.



LOOK AHEAD CARE & SUPPORT – FLOATING SUPPORT PERFORMANCE SUMMARY:

Look Ahead Care & Support – Floating Support KPI Summary:

| KPI | Description | Target | End Q1 | End Q2 | End Q3 | End Q4 | Comments |
|-----|---|--------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| 1 | Acknowledgement of referral within 24 hours | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | The target has been met |
| 2 | Support provided to a minimum of 25 clients every month (including ongoing cases) | 95% | 92% | 92% | 108% | 148% | The target is being met now there have been more referrals (plus ongoing cases) |
| 3 | % clients achieving a minimum of 12 months tenancy sustainment | 90% | Not Recorded | Not Recorded | Not Recorded | 100% | The target has been achieved for those clients who have moved-on (17/17), but is for <12 months |
| 4 | % clients achieving improvements in | 80% | Not | Skills: 96% | Skills: 89% | Skills: 73% | The target has not been achieved as |
| | independent living skills, mental and | | Recorded | Skills*: 70% | Skills*: 63 % | Skills*: 43 % | % all cases, but is not applicable to all |
| | physical health after 12 months (Skills* figures exclude support for | | | MH: 22% | MH: 19% | MH: 5% | clients, figures are not mutually exclusive, and is for <12 months |
| | finance and housing) | | | PH: 13% | PH: 26% | PH: 43 % | exclusive, and is for \$12 months |
| 5 | % applicable clients with reduced re- offending and anti-social behaviour after 12 months | 85% | Not Recorded | Not Recorded | Not Recorded | 100% | The target has been achieved for applicable clients (3/3), but is for <12 months |
| 6 | % applicable clients with support plan engaging meaningfully with identified support services (including move from use of emergency services to planned appointments) after 12 months | 90% | Not Recorded | 52%** | 96% | 81% | The target has not been achieved as % all cases, but may not be applicable to all clients, and is for <12 months (**Q2 figures exclude cases where support is ongoing) |
| 7 | % clients with improved financial awareness and budgeting skills after 6 months | 90% | Not Recorded | 70% | 63% | 84% | The target has not been achieved (Q1: 16/23, Q2: 17/27, Q4: 31/37), but is not applicable to all clients within 6 months |
| 8 | Safeguarding concerns reported to correct social care team within 24 hours | 100% | N/A | | | | No Safeguarding concerns raised by Look Ahead in 2023/24 (2 were raised by other organisations in Q1) |
| 9 | Health & Safety performance reporting | 100% | N/A | | | | No accidents and incidents to date |



Pricing Schedule:

• The annual cost of providing the service is £34,400.

<u>Look Ahead Care & Support – Stats & Commentary for SBC Referrals, Clients, Support & Outcomes:</u>

- 1. <u>Acknowledgement of Referrals:</u>
 - Look Ahead have met the 100% target, acknowledging all referrals within 24 hours.
- 2. Support Provided to a Minimum of 25 Clients Every Month:
 - Look Ahead had initially struggled to meet the target of supporting at least 25 clients per month because they had only received 37 referrals from Spelthorne BC by the end of 2023/24. However, they are now meeting the target as there have been more referrals and there are also ongoing cases where clients are continuing to receive support.

| | @ End of | @ End of Q1 | @ End of Q2 | @ End of Q3 | @ End of Q4 | Total / |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| | 2022 – 2023 | 2023 – 2024 | 2023 – 2024 | 2023 – 2024 | 2023 – 2024 | Current |
| Referrals | 37 | 8 | 14 | 18 | 23 | 100 |
| No. Open Cases | 19 | 23 | 23 | 27 | 37 | 37 |
| | (76%) | (92%) | (92%) | (108%) | (148%) | (148%) |

3. <u>Tenancy Sustainment:</u>

- It has not yet been 12 months since any clients have moved on to rented accommodation, so it is not yet possible to report on whether this KPI is truly being met.
- However, Look Ahead have made efforts to retain contact with clients and landlords to follow-up how successfully tenancies are being sustained to date (although these tenancies are all for less than 12 months).



| Moved-On to | Tenancy Sustained | Preparing to Move- | No Accommodation | Unable to Sustain | % Tenancies |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Rented | to Date | On | Found – Case Closed | Tenancy | Sustained to Date |
| Accommodation | (< 12 Months) | (Awaiting Tenancy) | (Give Reasons*) | (Give Reasons*) | (< 12 Months) |
| 17 | 17 | 23 | 26 | None Known | 100% |

^{*}Reasons for accommodation not being found by time of case closure:

- non-engagement; not responding to attempts to contact
- declined offer of support
- no immediate support needs / support needs met
- alternative support found (e.g., White House)
- no longer eligible for service (e.g., moved out of area)
- evicted from B&B / TA
- abandoned property
- Since Q2 of 2023/24, Look Ahead have also provided a comprehensive breakdown of the areas of support they have provided to clients to prepare them for sustaining their own tenancies, as captured in the clients' support plans.

| Area of Support Provided to Prepare For and Meet KPI | No. Supported Q2 | No. Supported Q3 | No. Supported Q4 |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Joining Housing Register | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Looking for PRS | 7 | 11 | 12 |
| Accessing Legal Advice (Housing) | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Providing Documents to Support Housing / Homelessness Application | 8 | 13 | 7 |
| Applying for Relevant Housing-Related Benefits (HB / UC) | 5 | 8 | 11 |
| Setting Up Direct Debits for Housing Costs | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| DHP Applied For | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| General Budgeting / Financial Support (as KPI 7) | 17 | 16 | 31 |
| Support Provided with Living Environment, Hoarding | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Support Provided with Reporting Repairs | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Moved-On from TA / B&B Accommodation | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Continued overleaf | | | |



| Area of Support Provided to Prepare For and Meet KPI (continued) | No. Supported Q2 | No. Supported Q3 | No. Supported Q4 |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Moved to more Suitable Accommodation (Downsize, More Affordable) | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| RSL Tenancy Obtained | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| PRS Tenancy Obtained | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Support Liaising with Future / New Landlord | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| Support at Sign-Up | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Help with the Move Itself (Packing, Practical Help, Arranging Removals) | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Resettlement following Move (Setting Up Bills, etc.) | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Applying for Grants and Items for New Home | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Support with Aids and Adaptions, OT Assessment, etc. | 1 | 0 | 2 |

4. Improvements in Independent Living Skills, Mental and Physical Health:

- This KPI is now easier for Look Ahead to report on now that the service is well-established and cases have been open longer.
- This information is captured in client's support plans, and can be used to capture areas of support provided, but, as for Tenancy Sustainment, these figures are currently for less than 12 months. The areas of support are not mutually exclusive, but the figures are encouraging when compared to the numbers of open cases for each quarter (23 for Q2, 27 for Q3, and 37 for Q4), although the KPI may not be applicable to all clients and the numbers for each area of support are not mutually exclusive.
- "Closing Summary Forms" will provide details of improvement in independent living skills and health when cases are closed, which will be a better measure of meeting this outcome.

| Area of Support Provided (not mutually exclusive) | No. Supported Q2* | No. Supported Q3* | No. Supported Q4* |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Physical Health | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| | (13%) | (19%) | (5%) |
| Mental Health | 5 | 7 | 16 |
| | (22%) | (26%) | (43%) |
| Independent Living Skills, | 22, (16) | 24, (17) | 27, (16) |
| (Areas not Related to Housing and Finances) | (96%), (70%) | (89%), (<i>63%</i>) | (73%), (43%) |

^{*}Note – Percentage figures are for all open cases, but may not be applicable to all clients



- Examples provided by Look Ahead of the how these improvements have been achieved include:
 - Child Protection Case downgraded to Child in Need
 - Encouraging / Giving advice about attending medical appointments including transport and advocacy
 - Registering / Engaging with GP
 - Support around increasing mobility, accessing aids / adaptations
 - Support with referral to ASC, CMHRS or other statutory service
 - Advice / Support / Encouragement / Signposting related to healthy living, e.g., healthy eating, regular exercise, quit smoking
 - Support with finance and housing leading to improved health and wellbeing

5. Reduction of Re-Offending and Anti-Social Behaviour:

- When the service was originally opened, it was anticipated that more ex-offenders may be referred, so this KPI hasn't been as relevant as expected as only 3 ex-offenders have been referred to the service to date.
- None of those have re-offended to date, although as with the previous KPIs these figures are also for less than 12 months.

| Identified Referrals | No. Cases Referred | Support Ongoing – No Known | Known Further | Further Incidents – KPI Not Met | Unknown | % Reduction ASB / Re-Offending |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| | | Further Incidents | Incidents | | | (< 12 Months) |
| Ex-Offenders | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100% |
| ASB Cases | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A |

6. <u>Engaging Meaningfully with Support Services:</u>

- As with the above KPIs, this information is captured in clients' support plans but is currently for less than 12 months.
- Again the figures are encouraging when compared to the number of open cases, but the KPI may not be applicable to all clients.



| Meaningful Engagement with Support Services | 2023-24 Q2 | 2023-24 Q3 | 2023-24 Q4 | | |
|---|---|------------|------------|--|--|
| No. Achieved | 12 | 16 | 19 | | |
| Support Ongoing | Not Recorded | 10 | 11 | | |
| Total | 12 (excl. ongoing support) | 26 | 30 | | |
| | (52%) | (96%) | (81%) | | |
| Move from Use of Emergency Services to | N/A – There have not really been any instances of clients who have been using | | | | |
| Planned Appointments | emergency services | | | | |

• The details of specific support services that clients have been referred to were:

| Specific Services Referred To | No. Supp | No. Supported Q2 | | orted Q3 | No. Supported Q4 | |
|--|------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | Referred / | Signposted | Referred / | Signposted | Referred / | Signposted |
| | Discussed | | Discussed | | Discussed | |
| Adult Social Care / Voluntary Care Agencies, e.g. Age UK | _ | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | _ |
| Mental Health / Talking Therapies | _ | 5 | 1 | 3 | 8 | _ |
| Social Prescribing / General Support in the Community | _ | 4 | 1 | 6 | 1 | _ |
| Domestic Abuse | _ | 2 | ı | 3 | 2 | _ |
| Debt Specialists | _ | 3 | 2 | 5 | 6 | _ |
| Charities | 3 | _ | 1 | 3 | 1 | _ |
| Substance Misuse | _ | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | _ |
| Employment / Education / Meaningful Activity | _ | 3 | _ | 4 | 1 | _ |
| Physical Health / Healthy Living | _ | _ | ı | 2 | 3 | _ |
| Carers' Support | _ | 1 | _ | 3 | 1 | _ |
| Children's Centres | _ | 3 | _ | 4 | 1 | _ |
| Other including Legal Services | | corded | Not Re | corded | 3 | _ |



7. <u>Financial Awareness and Budgeting Skills:</u>

- This information is also captured in clients' support plans. However, it is difficult to measure improvements within 6 months, as not all clients initially require support with their financial and budgeting skills and may only require support with housing, or they may only require financial assistance and support once they are ready to move-on to rented accommodation.
- The numbers of clients supported, and the breakdown of the areas of support provided, are shown below for Q2 of 2023/24 onwards:

| Financial Awareness & Budgeting Skills Support | No. Supported during Q2 | No. Supported during Q3 | No. Supported during Q4 | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Total No. Clients Supported | 16 | 17 | 31 | |
| | (70%) | (63%) | (84%) | |
| Area of Support Provided (not mutually exclusive) | | | | |
| New Benefit Applications | 4 | 8 | 7 | |
| Support with Existing Benefit Claims | 9 | 11 | 17 | |
| Setting Up New Bank Account | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| Support with Council Tax and Utilities | 5 | 9 | 16 | |
| Budgeting Advice | 7 | 12 | 15 | |
| Income & Expenditure Form Completed | 7 | 9 | 9 | |
| Addressing Debt | 5 | 5 | 13 | |
| Addressing Benefit Cap | 2 | 1 | 4 | |

8. <u>Safeguarding:</u>

- Look Ahead have not raised any Safeguarding concerns to Spelthorne BC during 2023/24.
- There were Safeguarding concerns raised by other organisations on behalf of 2 open cases in Q1 of 2023/24.

9. Health & Safety Reporting:

• There have been no accidents or incidents to date.



METROPOLITAN THAMES VALLEY HOUSING – WHITE HOUSE & HARPER HOUSE PERFORMANCE SUMMARY:

Metropolitan Thames Valley Housing – White House & Harper House KPI Summary:

| KDI | Description Valley Flouring Valley | Target | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | 2023/24 | Comments |
|-----|--|-----------------|--|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------|--|
| 1 | New resident sign-ups completed within 1 day of | 100% | 75.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 97.5% | Target was narrowly missed, but was |
| - | void completion date | 100% | 73.070 | 100.076 | 100.076 | 100.070 | 37.370 | met in Q2, Q3 and Q4 |
| 2 | Personal Support Plans for individuals completed | 100% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target has been met, but initial PSPs |
| 2 | · | 100% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |
| _ | within 48 hours of moving in | 4.000/ | 400.00/ | 400.00/ | 400.00/ | 400.00/ | 400.00/ | are essentially a checklist |
| 3 | Personal Support Plans reviewed every 28 days | 100% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target has been met, but reporting |
| | | | | | | | | data is insufficient to show progress |
| 4 | Occupancy charges collected – overall* | 98% | 125.7% | 83.0% | 95.4% | 91.3% | _ | Target generally not being met, and |
| | – White House* | | - | 81.5% | 99.5% | - | 102.0% | reporting is inconsistent' but arrears |
| | – Harper House* | | - | 85.8 % | 86.3% | - | 94.7% | are to be expected with client group |
| 5 | Housing benefit passed on within 4-week | 100% | Not record | ded, but co | nfirmed all | is now in p | lace | Some of the shortfall for KPI 4 is due |
| | payment period | | | | | | | to the 4-week delay in HB payments |
| 6 | ASB complaints logged with initial investigation | 98% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | There have been some complaints, |
| | within 2 working days | | | | | | | but not fitting ASB criteria |
| 7 | Major Void works required | Report Only | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | Issue with automatic disabled door |
| 8 | Void turnaround times: <5 days for minor voids, | Overall | 75.0% | 69.0% | 66.6% | 50.0% | _ | Target is not being met due to |
| | <10 days for major voids | HH: 100% | - | ı | 1 | 20.0% | 41.7% | unplanned vacancies, MTVH have |
| | | WH: Report Only | _ | _ | _ | 80.0% | 31.6% | implemented a void checklist |
| 9 | Repairs completed within priority response times | 100% | "Handyma | n" repairs | 100% | | 94.1% | Excludes defects & major repairs, but |
| | | | | | | | | target is still not being fully met |
| 10 | White House: Move-on within 12 months** | 100% | 50.0% | 85.0% | 25.0% | 66.0% | 56.5% | Targets not being met, due to lack of |
| | Harper House: Move-on within 6 months** | | 0.0% | 20.0% | 66.6% | 100.0% | 46.6% | affordable PRS accommodation |
| 11 | Satisfaction rate from residents leaving | 95% | | | | | 88.9% | Target not quite met, but based on |
| | accommodation (who completed survey) | | | | | | | comparatively low response rate |
| 12 | White House: Support outcomes progress | 100% | Not Known – Insufficient Evidence Provided | | | | ed | SBC is not satisfied by PSP reporting |
| | Other Priorities – No Target, Report Only | | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | 2023/24 | |
| | Health & Safety reporting | Report Only | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No incidents to report |
| | Staff training | Report Only | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.8% | 100.0% | 99.9% | Details Provided – Internal MTVH |

^{*} This figure can be above 100% due to Housing Benefit claims being paid 4 weeks in arrears.

^{**}Based only on those clients who have moved-on, does not account for those who remain as residents.



Pricing Schedule:

• The total annual cost of providing the service was £447,288. The breakdown is:

| Service | Harper House | White House | Total |
|--|--------------|-------------|----------|
| Landlord Management Function | £31,888 | £211,844 | £243,732 |
| Resident Support Services | £38,142 | £131,488 | £169,630 |
| End to End Facilities Management and Repairs & Maintenance | £7,629 | £26,297 | £33,926 |
| Total | £77,659 | £369,629 | £447,288 |

Metropolitan Thames Valley Housing – White House & Harper House Management, Support & Outcomes Commentary:

KPIs:

- 1. MTVH have streamlined their sign-up process and have greatly improved from around 85% last year to 97.5%.
- 2. & 3. The completion and review of Personalised Support Plans is on target, but the initial Personalised Support Plan is essentially a checklist, and whilst we know that there are support sessions being carried out with residents (as these have been witnessed "in progress" by SBC staff) the reporting from MTVH provides insufficient details and evidence of what is actually being discussed and achieved.
- 4. & 5 Occupancy charge collection remains a concern. Some of the shortfall can be explained because of the delays in receiving Housing Benefit payments (this is paid 4 weeks in arrears, but it can also take 8 10 weeks to set-up for new residents). However, some of this shortfall is also due to arrears, although that is to be expected to an extent due to the nature of the client group.
- 6. There were numerous complaints from neighbours about noise and other poor behaviour from Harper House last summer, but these complaints did not meet the threshold for anti-social behaviour as the most serious incidents were one-off occurrences. There were also some very serious incidents at White House where police were involved and/or the perpetrator had to be evicted, but again these were one-off occurrences. SBC have worked with MTVH managers and neighbours to alleviate these issues.



- 7. The only Major Void works required were for the automatic door to the disabled pod in the White House.
- 8. Void period turnarounds are not being met due to unplanned vacancies, such as abandonments, deaths, and evictions, where deep cleaning is often required before new residents can be moved in. However, there were also delays in some cases because rooms or flats were simply not made ready despite move-outs being planned, and MTVH have implemented a Void Checklist to address this.
- 9. 100% of "handyman" repairs and 94.1% of all repairs were reportedly completed within the proposed response times. This excludes defect works that the developers are responsible for (such as remedying the water in the lift shaft at White House, which was finally resolved this year). However, the figure also does not include incidents of major repairs where MTVH repair contractors are required, and in some cases of urgent repairs (e.g., leaks at Harper House) SBC has had to step in and arrange for urgent repairs directly. This is a major concern in the Service Improvement Plan agreed with MTVH, and matters do seem to have improved in terms of response times.
- 10. There will always be a percentage of abandonments, deaths, and evictions which are not accounted for in the KPIs. But the 0% move-on rate for Harper House in Q1 is atrocious, and the move-on rates are generally well below target. This is reportedly largely due to the lack of affordable private rented sector properties due to the cost of living crisis. There are also some offers of social housing which fall through, or there are long delays of 6 months or more. But the recent 100% move-on rate for Harper House in Q4 is encouraging.
- 11. There is some difficulty persuading residents leaving the accommodation to complete the satisfaction survey (particularly where they may be in arrears), so the figure is only based on 9 responses. The target has not quite been met, but is not too far short.
- 12. As with KPIs 2. & 3. above, SBC is not satisfied with the reporting from MTVH for the support being offered to residents and how their progress is being measured, as there is insufficient detail and evidence to be able to properly assess performance. Other partners have provided a lot more detail in their reports (as can be seen from the information included within this report for other contracted services), and SBC is working with MTVH to try to improve the process and to address our concerns.



SPELTHORNE RENTSTART PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

Spelthorne Rentstart – KPI Summary:

| KPI | Description | Target | Outcome | tcome | | | | | | Comments |
|-----|--|--------------|------------------|--|----------------|-------------|----------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | Referrals assessed within 5 working days | 95% | 242 Referrals (| 100%) ; 26% inc | rease (192 las | t year) | | | | Target has been exceeded |
| 2 | Referrals received by referral route | No Target – | SBC | Self | Fami | ly / Friend | Jo | b Centre | Probation | Details provided: |
| | | Report Only | 242 | 81 | | 9 | | 5 | 3 | – 353 referrals; 77% |
| | | | White House | e CAB | , | \fghan | Tr | ansform S | Social Worker | increase (299 last year) |
| | | | 7 | 2 | | 2 | | 1 | 1 |] |
| 3 | Referrals accepted by referral route | 99% | 351 Accepted | 99.43%) - Inclu | ides 24 unabl | e to contac | t; 16% i | ncrease (303 las | st year) | Target has been met |
| 4 | Referrals rejected by reason | Max. 1% | 2 Refused (0.5) | <mark>7%) – 1 for pre</mark> v | ious aggressi | ve behavio | ur towa | rds landlord; 1 f | for previous | Target has been met |
| | | | arrears and lac | k of engagemer | nt | | | | | |
| 5 | Clients housed by referral route | 80 Clients | SBC - | SBC – Relief | Self | Job Ce | entre | White House | Church | Target has not been met: |
| | | | Prevention | | | | | | | - 77 Clients housed; 8% |
| | | | 51 | 4 | 19 | 1 | L | 1 | 1 | increase (71 last year) |
| 6 | Clients offered advice and guidance | Report Only | 4,612 follow-u | p actions, involv | ing 671 clien | ts (both ne | w and e | xisting clients) | | Details provided |
| 7 | Tenancies / Placements ending by reason | No Target – | Moved – Other | Moved Back in | Moved in wit | h Suppo | rted | Social Tenancy | Self-Funded | Details provided: |
| | *Note, some of this data may come from third | Report Only | Rentstart | with Family | Partner | Accomm | odation | | Move | – 32 tenancies / |
| | parties | | 10 | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | 3 | placements ended; 60% |
| | | | Evicted | Prison | Died | Aband | oned | Unknown | | increase (20 last year) |
| | | | | | | Prop | erty | | | |
| | | | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | | |
| 8 | % landlords retained year on year | Report Only | | newals – 200% | • | | | | | Details provided |
| 9 | % tenancies sustained year on year | Report Only | | nancies at year | | | | • | | Details provided |
| 10 | Tenancy sustainment for > 12 months | Report Only | | | · | | ys avera | age (1,045 last y | /ear) | Details provided |
| 11 | Average length of stay | Report Only | 833 days – 27% | 6 increase (655 | days last year | ·) | | | | Details provided |
| 12 | Average waiting time | Report Only | 52 days – 27% | increase (41 da | ys last year) | | | | | Details provided |
| 13 | Funding from external sources | Report Only | See separate ta | able below | | | | | | Details provided |
| 14 | Amount of deposits issued | Report Only | 23 with a value | of £23,031 | | | | | | Details provided |
| 15 | Amount of deposits claimed | Report Only | 5 totalling £2,7 | 70 (1 x £105 fo | r arrears, 1 x | £465 for ar | rears / | damage, 1 x £65 | 50 for arrears / | Details provided |
| | | | damage, 1 x £6 | 550 for long-teri | m rent arrear | s, 1 x £900 | for dan | iage) | | |
| 16 | Customer satisfaction | 75% Positive | How likely to | Extremely | Likely | Unlik | volv. | Extremely | Don't Know | Target has been met: |
| | Completed annually: | (based on at | recommend | Likely | Likely | Offilir | ССТУ | Unlikely | DOIT CKITOW | – 78 % positive |
| | - based on 31 responses | least 25 | Spelthorne | 58% | 20% | 49 | % | 9% | 8% | |
| | | responses) | Rentstart? | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Client breakdown by protected | No Target – | | Breakdown of client base provided by Age, Disability, Gender Reassignment, Marriage or Det | | | | | | Details provided |
| | characteristics (Equality Act 2010) | Report Only | Civil Partnershi | ivil Partnership, Race, Sex, and Sexual Orientation | | | | | | |



Revenue Grant:

- Maximum of £50,000 annually, paid in 2 instalments of £25,000 on 1st April and 1st October 2023.
- Plus £16,000 from Spelthorne BC Grants to top-up bond fund.

Spelthorne Rentstart – Additional Funding from External Sources:

| Additional Funding Source | Amount Applied For | Outcome of Application |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| National Lottery Continuation Funding | £466,513 over 5 years from 2022/23 | Ongoing – 5-Year Project |
| Esso Bike Ride | £500 | Received |
| Better Neighbourhood Grant – Cllrs John & Sue Doran | £200 – Website | Received |
| Better Neighbourhood Grant – Cllr Burrell | £200 – Rent in Advance | Received |
| Staines Parochial Society | £750 laptop | Successful |
| Staines Parochial Society | £350 mattrass for client | Successful |
| Mental Health Investment Fund | £107,436 | Unsuccessful |
| Lloyds | £75,000 | Unsuccessful |
| The National Lottery Awards for All | £10,000 | Rejected |

• Rentstart have secured significant additional funding from a variety of sources, which they have proactively sought out and applied for. This has helped to ensure that the Council is getting value for money for the contract.

<u>Spelthorne Rentstart – Referrals, Clients, Support & Outcomes Commentary:</u>

1. Spelthorne Rentstart have exceeded their target and assessed all SBC referrals within 5 working days. There has been a 26% increase in the number of SBC referrals compared to last year.



- 2. The total numbers of referrals show that there has been a large increase in demand for the service, with an increase of 77% (an additional 54 referrals) compared to the previous year.
- 3. & 4. The target rates for referral acceptances and rejections were met (excluding 24 clients who Rentstart were unable to contact), despite the large increase in the numbers of referrals.
- 5. They housed 77 clients, falling slightly short of their target of 80. However, they are still reporting significant difficulties in housing clients due to the continuing lack of affordable private rented accommodation associated with the cost of living crisis, and they have successfully housed more clients than they did last year (71 clients housed in 2022/23), which is very encouraging.
- 6. 15. They have recorded the figures for all those KPIs for reporting only where there is no target. The picture for these is mixed:
 - They are seeing many clients with complex needs, such as mental health or addiction issues, who may not be able to live independently and who are very challenging for their landlords, which is illustrated by the high numbers of clients being offered advice and guidance (KPI 6.), and by the claims against their deposits for damage (KPIs 14. & 15.).
 - There has been a 60% increase in the number of tenancies ended (KPI 7. although around 1/3 of these were moves to other Spelthorne Rentstart properties, and only around 1/3 were negative outcomes) and a 22% decrease in the number of tenancies sustained year on year (KPI 9.), which may be reflective of the impact of the cost of living crisis on tenancy sustainment. But there has also been a 41% rise in the percentage of tenancies sustained for more than 12 months (KPI 10.), which is more encouraging.
 - There has also been a massive increase of 200% in the landlords retained by Rentstart year on year (KPI 8.), showing the success they have had in increasing the numbers of available properties, but accompanied by 27% rises in both the average length of stay and average wait for a placement (KPIs 11. & 12.), again suggesting there is still a lack of suitable move-on accommodation.
- 16. They have achieved their customer satisfaction survey response rate of at least 25 responses for the year, and when the service recommendation scores for "Extremely Likely" (at 58%) and "Likely" (at 20%) are added together (for a total of 78%) they had met their customer satisfaction target.
- 17. The equality data has been provided, but is not included in this report due to space constraints and the comparatively small numbers.



OTHER SERVICES PERFORMANCE SUMMARY:

Browns Community Services CIC – Intensive Floating Housing Support Performance Summary:

- Spelthorne BC does not provide a Housing First service, due to the current lack of private rented accommodation which is affordable to this client group. Instead, to be able to make use of government funding for Housing First schemes, SBC replaced Housing First with Intensive Floating Support (as agreed with the government) to our most vulnerable clients.
- This Intensive Floating Support service is provided in-house, with Browns Community Services CIC also externally providing out of hours cover, and also supposed to provide support to 2 allocated clients to maximise the capacity of the service.
- Unfortunately, the support provided by Browns Community Services to their allocated clients was unsatisfactory, with them not visiting the clients at home, taking credit for work done by SBC officers, and essentially advocating for these clients rather than supporting them as instructed, and actually providing incorrect advice and wrongly advocating against Spelthorne BC Housing and Homelessness decisions. So regrettably the contract with Browns Community Services was terminated due to unsatisfactory performance.
- This service is now being entirely provided in-house, led by our Spelthorne BC's Intensive Support Housing Officer.
- The annual cost of the service had been £37,500 + VAT, prior to the termination of the contract.

GKB Homes – Storage of Household Items Performance Summary:

- There was a need to increase the capacity at Harper House with bunk beds, so some of the existing single beds needed to be put into storage, and Spelthorne BC entered into a storage contract with GKB Homes (one of the private sector landlords who works with our Housing Options team, providing some of the emergency accommodation for our homelessness cases).
- GKB Homes provide a minimum of 50 cubic metres of space at a suitably secure, weathertight, properly ventilated and maintained storage facility, which is used for storing the single beds and can also be used for any other customer goods as may be required (e.g., such as temporary storage of personal items when customers leave the Step Down service, if needed).
- The beds have been successfully and safely stored, and when 1 bed was required to be temporarily returned to Harper House the delivery and subsequent pick-up was arranged with and performed by GKB Homes using their own vehicle.
- The annual cost is £3,360 + VAT.



Proof Facilities Management – Cleaning & Window Cleaning Services at White House and Harper House Performance Summary:

| KPI | Description | Target | Actions | Harper House 2023/24 | White House 2023/24 | Comments |
|-----|---|--------|--|-------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 1 | Routine Daily Planned Cleaning | 97% | Visual Inspections (Daily) | 366 (100%) | 366 (100%) | Target has been met |
| 2 | Routine Weekly Planned Cleaning | 97% | Supervisor Visits (Weekly) | 50 (96%) | 50 (96%) | Target has been missed by 1%, but still satisfactory |
| 4 | All Consumables are Always Available | 100% | | | | Target not quite met |
| 3 | Periodic Planned Cleaning | 97% | Kitchen Deep Clean – Ovens (Monthly) | N/A | 77 (128%) | Target has been notably exceeded |
| | | | External Window Cleaning (Quarterly) | 4 | 4 (100%) | Target has been met |
| 5 | Cleaning Chemicals Stored Correctly & Conform to COSHH Regulations | 100% | Supervisor Visits (Weekly) | 50 (96%) | 50 (96%) | Target not quite met, but still satisfactory |
| 6 | Notifications / Adverse Comments Concerning the Quality of Services | 97% | Concerns / Complaints Received | 0 (N/A) | 0 (N/A) | No concerns, complaints, or incidents to report |
| | | | Discussed at Contract Management Meetings | 0 (N/A) | 0 (N/A) | |
| 7 | Accidents / Near Misses Reported / Remedied | 100% | Reported in Incidence Report Records | 0 (N/A) | 0 (N/A) | |
| | | | Discussed at Contract Management Meetings | 0 (N/A) | 0 (N/A) | |
| 8 | Health & Safety Complaints | 100% | Reported in Incidence Report Records | 0 (N/A) | 0 (N/A) | |
| | | | Discussed at Contract Management Meetings | 0 (N/A) | 0 (N/A) | |

• Proof FM provide monthly reports to show whether the KPIs are being met, and to give reasons for any issues (e.g., any absences etc.).



- Proof FM are technically short of their 97% targets where the supervisor checks are weekly, as that is at 96% (for 50 out of 52 weeks). But the MTVH managers have confirmed that all routine daily and weekly planned cleaning and all periodic quarterly planned cleaning is being completed, and they have also confirmed that the requirements for all other KPIs (e.g., availability of consumables, COSSH compliance, etc.) are being met by Proof Facilities Management.
- It is worth noting that the level of the oven cleaning needed in the communal kitchens at White House was above the agreed monthly frequency, due to the client group, at 77 cleans for 5 communal ovens during 2023/24 (rather than 60), with no additional charge.
- Where there have been any issues raised with Proof FM in relation to the quality of the cleaning (and any other KPIs) these have been resolved satisfactorily.
- The annual cost of this service is £62,272.80 + VAT (£35,943.60 + VAT for White House and £26,329.20 + VAT for Harper House), plus additional costs for *ad hoc* cleaning of individual rooms and flats (e.g., during void periods).
- However, the cleaning contracts for all SBC sites have now been consolidated into a single contract, which regrettably has not been
 awarded to Proof FM, and the external window cleaning element has been removed and will be covered under a separate contract. We
 area awaiting further updates from our Procurement team for confirmation of when the new contracts will begin.

<u>Spelthorne Direct Services – Grounds Maintenance at White House and Harper House Performance Summary:</u>

- The grounds maintenance at White House and Harper House is done by our own Neighbourhood Services team through Spelthorne Direct Services, to a specification of works detailing the frequency of the various tasks (e.g., grass cutting, planting, weeding etc.).
- Their attendance and their performance has been satisfactory, and as agreed.
- Where additional work or extra visits have been required they have been happy to attend at additional cost.
- The annual cost is £2,675.80 + VAT (£710.52 + VAT for White House and £1,965.28 + VAT for Harper House).

This page is intentionally left blank

E&S Committee – Briefing Report 16 October 2024



| Title | Update on Design Code | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Purpose of the report | rt To note | | | | |
| Report Author | Laura Richardson | | | | |
| Ward(s) Affected | All Wards | | | | |
| Exempt | No | | | | |
| Exemption Reason N/A | | | | | |
| Corporate Priority Environment, Community | | | | | |
| Recommendations | Committee is asked to: | | | | |
| | To note progress made to date on the Design Code and next steps | | | | |
| Reason for Recommendation | The Design Code Task Group has asked for a regular update report so that all Councillors are aware of the project's progress | | | | |

1. Summary of the report

| What is the situation | Why we want to do something |
|--|--|
| The Project Board recognise the important role of the Design Code in supporting high quality development in the Borough The Project Board wish to keep Councillors up to date on project progress | So that all Councillors have an understanding of the Design Code project and are able to answer any queries their residents may have, as well understand their opportunities to contribute to the development of the Design Code |
| This is what we want to do about it | These are the next steps |
| A monthly Briefing Note will be produced which sets out key activities undertaken, what is upcoming and any key project stages further into the future | Regular monthly reports will be provided until the Spelthorne Design Code is adopted |

1.1 This report gives a monthly update on the key activities undertaken/to be undertaken and any key project stages further into the future on the Spelthorne Design Code.

2. Key issues

- 2.1 The Spelthorne Design Code project has taken a community-led approach to co-produce a Design Code for the Borough with local people through various active community participation. This approach will help ensure new developments which are coming forward meet the real needs of the borough's diverse communities. The project is moving forward with ongoing community participation via our online engagement hub. To date, positive community involvement has seen with engagement hub attracted 4373 visitors and gathered 420 contributions from 173 respondents. 223 people have subscribed to the page for news updates. The Spelthorne Design Code Hub can be found here: Have Your Say Today Spelthorne Design Code-Commonplace
- 2.2 The Interactive Map on the Design Code online engagement hub allows participants to drop pins on specific locations within Spelthorne and share their thoughts and pictures about that place, area or a more specific design feature. The interactive map can be found here: Spelthorne Design Code Commonplace
- 2.3 A Citizens' Panel is being formed as a crucial element of the Council's community engagement in the development of the Spelthorne Design Code. Our Citizens' Panel brings people from across Spelthorne to inform and help create a new Design Code for the Borough. The panel will be demographically representative of the borough. Our aim is to recruit between 40 and 50 members. When applications close, we will select the panel members blindly so that they are demographically representative of the Borough, bringing together a diverse range of people to discuss and understand the issues. Members of the Panel will need to be available to participate in three focus sessions.
- 2.4 Two Citizens' Panel focus sessions with our appointed consultants David Lock Associates and Feria Urbanism will take place on 16 November 2024 and 23 November 2024. To date, 118 applications have been received for the Citizens Panel and applications will be open until 11.59pm on 20th October 2024. Residents can apply to join the Citizens' Panel here: Have Your Say Today Citizens' Panel Spelthorne Design Code (commonplace.is)
- 2.5 The Design Code project's initial engagement phase included a successful series of walking tours throughout the Borough. There is an additional date planned for Stanwell in November to ensure comprehensive community involvement across the Borough.
- 2.6 A drop-in session with interactive activities will be held in Elmsleigh Shopping Centre on 6 November 2024 to widen public participation. The in-person event is informal drop-in sessions where people can meet the Spelthorne Design Code Team and find out more about the Spelthorne Design Code and how to respond to the public engagement.

2.7 The Design Code Team is working with Communication Team to ensure Borough-wide promotion to increase public participation in the development of the Code. The advertising and delivery of the engagement activities has been done both online and offline to increase public participant and ensure that we reach as many people as possible for their views. Communication channels include weekly online promotion via social media channels, printed promotional materials distributed to key locations across the Borough, press releases and news updates and communications with community organisations.

3. Options analysis and proposal

3.1 Not applicable as this is a noting report.

4. Financial management comments

4.1 There are none.

5. Risk management comments

5.1 A risk register is used in projects to document, assess, and manage potential risks that could impact the project's success, ensuring proactive mitigation and response strategies. The risk register for the project is regularly monitored and updated by the Project Manager.

6. Procurement comments

6.1 There are none.

7. Legal comments

7.1 There are none.

8. Other considerations

8.1 There are none.

9. Equality and Diversity

9.1 This will be dealt with as an integral part of the Design Code.

10. Sustainability/Climate Change Implications

10.1 This will be dealt with as an integral part of the Design Code.

11. Timetable for implementation

11.1 The project timeline and general information can be found on <u>Have Your Say</u>
<u>Today - Spelthorne Design Code - Commonplace</u>

12. Contacts

- 12.1 Cllr Burrell is the Design Code Task Group Chair. Other members are Cllrs Gibson, Williams and Clarke. Cllr Beecher attends the Project Board meeting as chair of the Environment and Sustainability Committee.
- 12.2 Queries for Officers can be emailed to the Design Code Team: designcode@spelthorne.gov.uk
- 12.3 Laura Richardson is the Project Lead.

Background papers: There are none.

Appendices: There are none.